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The recent attacks on oil tankers in the Persian gulf and Saudi oil refineries serve as a stark reminder of the global economy's dependence on petroleum from this politically unstable region. This briefing, which brings together several leading historians of the subject, will explain how the Middle East oil industry assumed such importance in international affairs and American foreign policy.
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Historical Perspectives on Geopolitics of Middle East Oil

Postwar Petroleum Order

- Major U.S. corporations formed the Arabian American Oil Company (Aramco)
- Aramco-Saudi relations were based on 50/50 profit-sharing but also conflict over revenues and Aramco’s labor policies
- U.S. recognition and support of Israel complicated the U.S.-Saudi relationship

Politics of Reform in the Kingdom

- The U.S. government and oil companies were involved in domestic Saudi politics
- Aramco workers engaged in labor strikes, which led to demands for political reform, the nationalization of Aramco, and ending the U.S. military presence
- A movement for a constitutional monarchy was defeated in the early 1960s

The Post-Embargo Relationship

- The U.S.-Saudi relationship was re-established after the 1973 oil embargo on the basis of recycling of petrodollars and Saudi financial support for anti-communist causes
- Saudi Arabia nationalized Aramco in a planned take-over culminating in the early 1980s
- Following announcement of the Carter Doctrine in 1980, the U.S. dramatically increased its military presence in the Gulf
- The U.S. troop presence during the Gulf War provoked resistance in Saudi Arabia

Role of Mid-East Oil in U.S. Strategy

- Control of Gulf oil has been at the center of U.S. strategy since 1945
- Gulf oil has only been significant for U.S. consumption between 1973 and the oil shale boom
- Secure supplies fueled the reconstruction of Europe and Japan after 1945 and discouraged them from relapsing into economic nationalism.
- Development of the Gulf as the center of world oil production encouraged (with a few exceptions) price and supply stability, which in turn promoted American prosperity/power
  - Western prosperity facilitated containment of the Soviet Union, curtailing communism

U.S. Aims

1. Control of oil trade
2. Secure oil for U.S. and allies at a reasonable cost
3. Assist friendly local governments
4. Enhance U.S. economic position in the region
5. Prevent domination by internal or external rivals

Can We Leave the Gulf?

- Continuing Importance of the Gulf – Supplier of Last Resort/Slack Capacity
- As the leader/defender of international system, the United States will always have an interest in Gulf oil whether or not it is energy self-sufficient
  - Only question worth asking: **NOT** can we afford to leave the Middle East? **RATHER** do we still want to play the role of hegemon?